**Conclusion**

A lot of different statistical methods were used to describe the given database. [P1]

Descriptive statistics is a branch of statistics that aims at describing a number of features of data usually involved in a study. The main purpose of descriptive statistics is to provide a brief summary of the samples and the measures done on a particular study. Descriptive statistics are very important because if we simply presented our raw data it would be hard to visualize what the data was showing, especially if there was a lot of it. Descriptive statistics therefore enables us to present the data in a more meaningful way, which allows simpler interpretation of the data. Coupled with a number of graphics analysis, descriptive statistics form a major component of almost all quantitative data analysis.

The most obvious conclusions can be done immediately after estimating the relationships between variables. [P2] It is clearly shows that there is a strong relationship between the net turnover and wages, which is quite obvious, and also the number of employees and net turnover and wages. (C1)

What we can conclude from the hypothesis testing is that the convergence region is not that worse off, moreover they can be better off in some metrics than pest county. [P3] We were inconclusive with our assumptions regarding the region. [P4] What we can definitely state, is that the companies with a foreign owner definitely pay more on average to the employees, and they do more export that the companies with a local, Hungarian owner. (C2)

Through the ratios and frequencies calculation and figures for qualitative variables. We find that Hungary is facing the imbalance of economic development in different regions. [P5] However, it still attracts many foreigners to come and start their company business, while local companies are developed healthily and occupies a dominant position in the market. (C3)

**Analysis of arguments:**

**Author’s position:**

Author did not make only statistical part of this model made many conclusions with supporting clauses. Firstly, author mentioned that made a lot of statistical methods to describe the dataset. Then, he reviewed what is the descriptive statistics and why do we need it. Finally, made conclusions with premises.

(Conclusion 1) There is a strong relationship of the net turnover with wages and with the number of employees.

(Conclusion 2) The companies with a foreign owner definitely pay more on average to the employees, and they do more export that the companies with a local or Hungarian owner.

(Conclusion 3) It still attracts many foreigners to come and start their company business, while local companies are developed healthily and occupies a dominant position in the market.

**The structure of authors arguments:**

**A lot of different statistical methods were used to describe the given database. [P1] The most obvious conclusions can be done immediately after estimating the relationships between variables. [P2]** **It is clearly shows that there is a strong relationship between the net turnover and wages, which is quite obvious, and also the number of employees and net turnover and wages. (1)**

[P1]: A lot of different statistical methods were used to describe the given database.

[P2]: The most obvious conclusions can be done immediately after estimating the relationships between variables.

It is clearly shown that (Conclusion 1): there is a strong relationship of the net turnover with wages and with the number of employees.

**What we can conclude from the hypothesis testing is that the convergence region is not that worse off, moreover they can be better off in some metrics than pest county. We were inconclusive with our assumptions regarding the region. What we can definitely state, is that the companies with a foreign owner definitely pay more on average to the employees, and they do more export that the companies with a local, Hungarian owner.**

[P3]: What we can conclude from the hypothesis testing is that the convergence region is not that worse off, conversely, they can be better off in some metrics than pest county.

[P4]: We were inconclusive with our assumptions regarding the region.

What we can definitely state, is that (Conclusion 2): the companies with a foreign owner definitely pay more on average to the employees, and they do more export that the companies with a local, Hungarian owner.

**Through the ratios and frequencies calculation and figures for qualitative variables. We find that Hungary is facing the imbalance of economic development in different regions. However, it still attracts many foreigners to come and start their company business, while local companies are developed healthily and occupies a dominant position in the market.**

[P5]: Through the ratios, frequencies calculation and figures for qualitative variables, we find that Hungary is facing the imbalance of economic development in different regions.

However, (Conclusion 3): it still attracts many foreigners to come and start their company business, while local companies are developed healthily and occupies a dominant position in the market.

**Map of the authors argumentation**

First, author with P1 he mentioned the importance of this work. Then author make a first conclusion with P1 and P2. Then, presents P3 and P4 to make C2. After this, for C3 author presents P5.

**Psychological analysis**

In overall, conclusion creates impression that author knows what he/she is writing. Moreover, from conclusion we can understand the purpose of writing is discussion.

First premise makes an impression that author worked a lot to get results. Then, second premise is written that this is the conclusion, but from context we can understand that this is the premise. Premise 4 contradicts premise 3, due to this creates the impression not to trust second conclusion.